arXiv:1209.3073v1 [hep-th] 14 Sep 2012

Massive photons and Dirac monopoles: electric condensate and magnetic confinement

M. S. Guimaraes,[1, *] R. Rougemont,[2, †] C. Wotzasek,[2, ‡] and C. A. D. Zarro[2, §]
[1] *Instituto de Física, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, 20550-013, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
[2] *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 21941-972, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

We use the Julia-Toulouse approach (JTA) for condensation of charges and defects to argue that massive photons can coexist consistently with Dirac monopoles. The Proca theory is here obtained via JTA as a hydrodynamic effective theory describing an electric condensate and the mass of the vector boson is responsible for generating a Meissner effect which confines the magnetic defects in pairs of monopoles-antimonopoles connected by physical open magnetic vortices instead of unphysical Dirac branes. The issue of the charge quantization is intrinsically related to the construction of the so-called Dirac brane invariants, which correspond to the physical confining magnetic flux tubes.

Keywords: Monopoles, massive electrodynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

In his seminal work [1], Dirac established a theory of magnetic monopoles interacting with massless vector bosons, from which emerged a possible explanation for the electric charge quantization observed in Nature: the mere existence of a monopole would imply in the quantization of the electric charge in multiples of the inverse of the magnetic charge, what is based on the consistency condition for the unphysical magnetic Dirac string to remain unobservable at the quantum level. Since then, the physics involving Dirac monopoles was proved to be useful also to investigate other physical scenarios [5, 17, 20].

Our objective in this work is to discuss how to generalize the Dirac's prescription for the introduction of monopoles when the vector bosons are massive, what we hope shall help to clarify some misunderstandings found in the literature, like the claims that Dirac monopoles and massive photons cannot coexist, that the unphysical Dirac strings would become observable and that, consequently, it would be impossible to consistently derive the Dirac charge quantization condition when the vector bosons are massive [6].

One of the main points involved in this issue regards the fact that the Dirac theory of monopoles was indeed developed in the context of massless vector bosons and its extension to the case of massive photons is not immediate. Another key point refers to the very general observation that a massive photon generates a Meissner effect, which confines magnetic probe sources. Aside with these observations, one must also keep in mind that being unphysical artifacts of a particular formalism, there are no physical processes that could turn the Dirac strings (or more generally, Dirac branes) into observables: this point is in fact a consistency condition that must be always satisfied in order to the formalism be consistent. These basic observations can be gathered together through the use of the so-called Julia-Toulouse approach (JTA) for condensation of charges and defects [2, 3, 12, 16, 18].

The JTA is originally [2, 3] a prescription used to construct a low energy effective theory describing a system with condensed charges or defects, having previous knowledge of the model that describes the system in the regime with diluted charges or defects and also of the symmetries expected for the regime with condensed charges or defects. Based mainly on [2, 3], and taking also into account the ideas developed in [4, 5] regarding the formulation of ensembles of charges and defects, we introduced in [16, 18] a generalization of the JTA, whose main feature is a careful treatment of a local symmetry called as Dirac brane symmetry, which is independent of the usual gauge symmetry [5], and consists in the freedom of deforming the unphysical Dirac strings without any observable consequences. By convention, we keep calling this generalized prescription simply as the JTA.

In the present work we shall follow a very general strategy to obtain a consistent formulation of the Proca theory in the presence of external monopoles: we begin with the Maxwell theory in the presence of external magnetic defects (following the original Dirac's prescription) and diluted electric charges. We then apply the JTA to derive the Proca theory in the regime where these electric charges condense, getting the correct definition of the massive electrodynamics in the presence of Dirac monopoles. Through this process, we shall see that due to the Dirac's veto [1], the Dirac branes are effectively removed from the formalism in the electric condensed regime, giving place to physical open magnetic vortices with a pair of monopole-antimonopole in their ends. These open vortices are brane invariants corresponding to the confining magnetic flux tubes and the Dirac charge quantization condition is obtained in the construction of such invariants. In particular, since the magnetic probe sources are confined in these theories, it is impossible to introduce isolated magnetic defects into the massive electrodynamics, the only possibility being the introduction of mesonic pairs of monopole-antimonopole (as far as we know, this conclusion was firstly explicitly

---

[*] msguimaraes@uerj.br
[†] romulo@if.ufrj.br
[‡] clovis@if.ufrj.br
[§] carlos.zarro@if.ufrj.br

pointed out in [7]). However, contrary to the usual claim found in the literature [5, 7, 20] (which is also stated in [11] for a system in $(2+1)$-dimensions), the monopoles with opposite magnetic charges in these pairs are not connected by Dirac branes, but instead, they are connected by physical confining magnetic flux tubes and we show in a clear way how these structures emerge in the formalism by taking the Dirac brane symmetry carefully into account.

II. MASSIVE ELECTRODYNAMICS AND DIRAC MONOPOLES

We are going to work in $(3+1)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime and make use of natural units of $c = \hbar = 1$.

The partition function of the Maxwell theory in the presence of diluted eletric charges and magnetic monopoles is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_d[J_1, j_1] = \int_{G.F.} \mathcal{D}A_1 \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(dA_1 - g * \chi_2) \right.\right. \\ \left.\left. \wedge * (dA_1 - g * \chi_2) - eA_1 \wedge *J_1 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $J_1 = \delta\Sigma_2$ is the topological electric current which localizes the world-line of the electric charge e, the physical boundary of the world-surface of the electric Dirac string (electric brane) localized by the Chern-Kernel Σ_2 and $j_1 = \delta\chi_2$ is the topological magnetic current which localizes the world-line of the magnetic charge g, the physical boundary of the world-surface of the magnetic Dirac string (magnetic brane) localized by the Chern-Kernel χ_2. The acronym "G.F." stands for some "gauge fixing" procedure that must be used at some stage of the calculations.

As discussed in [18], the magnetic Dirac brane symmetry corresponds to the invariance of (1) under deformations of the magnetic Dirac branes that keep fixed its physical boundaries corresponding to the monopole currents and also satisfies the Dirac's veto [1, 19], which prohibits the magnetic Dirac branes of crossing the electric world-lines. This local symmetry implies in the Dirac charge quantization condition [1, 5], $eg = 2\pi n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, *as a consistency condition for the unobservability of the unphysical Dirac branes.*

Let us work with the electromagnetic dual of (1). For this, we make use of the master representation of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_d[J_1, j_1] = \int_{G.F.} \mathcal{D}A_1 \mathcal{D}G_2 \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \left[\frac{1}{2} G_2 \wedge *G_2 + \right.\right. \\ \left.\left. -G_2 \wedge *(dA_1 - g * \chi_2) - eA_1 \wedge *J_1 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

from which we can return to the original representation (1) after integrating out the auxiliary field G_2. Instead of this, we integrate out the gauge field A_1 in (2), obtaining the dual representation:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_d[J_1, j_1] &= \int \mathcal{D}G_2 \, \delta\left[d * G_2 + e * J_1 \right] \\ &\quad \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \left[\frac{1}{2} G_2 \wedge *G_2 - gG_2 \wedge \chi_2 \right] \right\} \\ &= \int_{G.F.} \mathcal{D}C_1 \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(dC_1 - e * \Sigma_2) \right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. \wedge * (dC_1 - e * \Sigma_2) + gC_1 \wedge *j_1 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the dual gauge field C_1 has emerged by solving the functional constraint $d * G_2 = -e * J_1$. The dual representation (3) is physically equivalent to the original representation (1), but here the couplings are inverted: the dual gauge field couples minimally to the monopole currents and non-minimally to the electric charges. Hence, from the point of view of the dual gauge field, the electric Dirac branes are seen as defects, being C_1 and dC_1 singular over these branes. Notice, however, that the non-minimal coupling $(dC_1 - e * \Sigma_2)$, which represents the physical electromagnetic fields, is regular everywhere, since the singularity of dC_1 is exactly canceled out by the singular term $*\Sigma_2$ [5, 20].

At this point we are ready to apply the JTA to study the effects of a electric charge condensation in this system. The condensation of electric charges is represented here by a proliferation of the electric world-lines, which implies in a proliferation of the electric Dirac branes from which these world-lines are boundaries. Due to the proliferation of the electric Dirac branes, the dual gauge field is not defined in almost the whole space and its degrees of freedom are not adequate to describe the system in the electric condensed regime. However, the non-minimal coupling remains regular everywhere. The JTA in this picture consists in taking the non-minimal coupling as a new field describing the low energy excitations of the electric condensate:

$$(dC_1 - e * \Sigma_2) \overset{\text{cond.}}{\longmapsto} mH_2, \quad (4)$$

where m is a phenomenological mass scale associated to the electric condensate. Notice that the prescription (4) effectively promotes a dynamical term for the massless 1-form gauge field C_1 describing the system in the diluted regime to a mass term for the 2-form Kalb-Ramond field H_2 describing the system in the condensed regime: this *rank-jumping* of the field describing the excitations of the theory and the associated *mass gap generation* constitute a signature of the *condensation of defects* in the picture where the condensing currents are non-minimally coupled to the gauge field describing the theory in the diluted regime [2, 3, 12, 15, 16].

For a consistent implementation of the prescription (4) into (3), such that we can obtain the partition function for the electric condensed regime in the dual picture, we must apply (4) also to the minimal coupling appearing in (3). For this, we must explicit the structure of the non-minimal coupling inside the minimal coupling term:

$$\begin{aligned} g\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} C_1\wedge *j_1 &= g\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} C_1\wedge **\,d(*\chi_2 - d*\lambda_3)\\ &= g\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} dC_1\wedge(*\chi_2 - d*\lambda_3)\\ &\doteq g\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} (dC_1 - e*\Sigma_2)\wedge(*\chi_2 - d*\lambda_3), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where in the first line we made explicit the Dirac brane ambiguity involved in the definition of the monopole currents and in the passage to the last line we added two intersection numbers that do not contribute in the Boltzmann factor due to the Dirac charge quantization condition (hence, this last equality only holds inside the partition function). Applying the prescription (4) into (5), we get:

$$g\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} C_1\wedge *j_1 \overset{\text{cond.}}{\longmapsto} mg\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} H_2\wedge *L_2, \tag{6}$$

where we defined the magnetic brane invariant:

$$*L_2 := *\chi_2 - *\Omega_2 = *\chi_2 - d*\lambda_3, \tag{7}$$

where $\Omega_2 = \delta\lambda_3$ is the topological magnetic vortex density, which is identically conserved due to the nilpotency of the codifferential: $\delta\Omega_2 = 0$. These internal magnetic closed vortices describe regions of the space where the electric condensate has not been established. Notice also from (5) that without the Dirac charge quantization condition it would be impossible to obtain (6) and (7) in the electric condensed regime: the charge quantization is, thus, a necessary condition for a consistent formulation of the system in the electric condensed regime, being intrinsically related to the construction of the magnetic brane invariants.

Adding to the Boltzmann factor of the partition function a dynamical term for the field describing the electric condensate, which must respect the relevant physical symmetries of the system (in this case, Lorentz and C, P and T), besides giving the dominant contribution at low energies, we obtain for the electric condensed regime the following partition function in the dual picture:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_c[j_1] = \sum_{\{*\lambda_3\}}\int \mathcal{D}H_2 \exp\Bigg\{ i\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \Bigg[\frac{1}{2}dH_2\wedge *dH_2 +\\ -\frac{m^2}{2}H_2\wedge *H_2 + mgH_2\wedge *L_2\Bigg]\Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the ensemble of internal defects $\{*\lambda_3\}$ represents the contribution of the magnetic vortices in the system. This constitutes a generalization of the effective action obtained in [3], which does not take the ensemble of magnetic vortices into account. Notice that this ensemble is the crucial point that makes our approach consistent: indeed, in the presence of external monopoles it is impossible to realize a complete electric condensation and the best the Meissner effect can do is to dilute completely the closed magnetic vortices disconnected from the magnetic Dirac strings. The vortices connected to the monopoles, however, cannot be undone by the Meissner effect: in fact, although the magnetic fields generated by the monopoles are expelled from almost the whole space constituted by the electric condensate, these fields cannot simply vanish and they become confined in magnetic flux tubes corresponding to open magnetic vortices with pairs of monopole-antimonopole in their ends. These physical open magnetic vortices emerge in the formalism here presented due to the way the magnetic Dirac brane symmetry is realized in the electric condensed regime: as we stated before, this local symmetry corresponds to the freedom of deforming the magnetic Dirac branes through the space not occupied by the electric world-lines. In the electric condensed regime these world-lines proliferated such that they established a continuum corresponding to the electric condensate and thus, due to the Dirac's veto, the only place allowed for the magnetic Dirac strings is inside the closed magnetic vortices connected to the monopoles. In such a setup, which can be read off from (7) with non-trivial $*\chi_2$ (in regions where $*\chi_2 = 0$ and $*\Omega_2 = d*\lambda_3 \neq 0$ we have from (7) the closed magnetic vortices disconnected from the monopoles), the flux inside the magnetic Dirac strings cancels out part of the flux inside the closed vortices, leaving only the magnetic open vortices with a pair of monopole-antimonopole in their ends. These open vortices are the physical confining magnetic flux tubes that emerge naturally in the formalism here presented due to a careful account of the Dirac brane symmetry.

Before deriving the effective interaction potential for the external probe monopoles, let us obtain the electromagnetic dual of (8). For this, we make use of the master representation of the partition function (8):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_c[j_1] = \sum_{\{*\lambda_3\}}\int \mathcal{D}H_2\mathcal{D}(*G_3) \exp\Bigg\{ i\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \Bigg[-\frac{1}{2}G_3\wedge *G_3 +\\ +G_3\wedge *dH_2 - \frac{m^2}{2}H_2\wedge *H_2 + mgH_2\wedge *L_2\Bigg]\Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

from which we can return to (8) by integrating out the auxiliary field G_3. Instead of this, we integrate out the Kalb-Ramond field H_2 obtaining the dual representation of the partition function (8) describing the electric condensed regime:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_c[j_1] = &\sum_{\{*\lambda_3\}} \int \mathcal{D}(*G_3) \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \left[-\frac{1}{2m^2}(d(*G_3)+ \right.\right. \\ &- mg * L_2) \wedge *(d(*G_3) - mg * L_2)+ \\ &\left.\left. +\frac{1}{2}(*G_3) \wedge *(*G_3)\right]\right\} \\ = &\sum_{\{*\lambda_3\}} \int \mathcal{D}A_1 \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(dA_1 - g * L_2) \right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. \wedge * (dA_1 - g * L_2) + \frac{m^2}{2} A_1 \wedge *A_1 \right]\right\}, \qquad (10) \end{aligned}$$

where in the passage to the last line we defined $*G_3 =: mA_1$. Equation (10) defines the partition function of the Proca theory in the presence of external probe magnetic monopoles in a consistent way. If we further consider the limit of complete dilution of the closed magnetic vortices disconnected from the external probe monopoles, the partition function (10) is reduced to:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_c[j_1] = &\sum_{\{*L_2\}} \int \mathcal{D}A_1 \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(dA_1 - g * L_2) \right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. \wedge * (dA_1 - g * L_2) + \frac{m^2}{2} A_1 \wedge *A_1 \right]\right\}, \qquad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum over branes is now taken over all the possible configurations of the world-surfaces of the confining magnetic flux tubes [16].

The effective action present in the Boltzmann factor in (11) is very similar to the one that one should naively write down by applying directly to the Proca theory (massive electrodynamics) the original Dirac's prescription for the introduction of monopoles into the Maxwell theory (massless electrodynamics). The basic difference lies in the nature of the string attached to the monopoles and, consequently, in the issue of the charge quantization. In the massless electrodynamics, the string attached to the monopoles is an unphysical artifact used to work with a single gauge potential defined over the whole space, except at the location of the Dirac string. Being an unphysical artifact of a particular formalism, there is no physical process (like a condensation of charges) that could transform such unphysical string into a physical one. In fact, the unobservability of the Dirac string is a consistency condition that must be always satisfied and implies in the charge quantization. On the other hand, the string attached to the monopoles in the massive electrodynamics is physical, since its deformation costs energy: different shapes of the magnetic flux tubes in (11) correspond to different energy configurations of the system. That the strings attached to the monopoles are physical was already pointed out in [5–7], but in these works the issue of the charge quantization remained a mystery, since it was thought that the "Dirac strings would become observable" in the Proca theory. This is not really the case, as we have explained through the derivation of (11): the Dirac strings are effectively removed from the formalism in the electric condensed regime due to the Dirac's veto, having their magnetic fluxes canceled out by part of the fluxes inside the closed magnetic vortices connected to the monopoles, leaving only the physical open magnetic vortices with a pair of monopole-antimonople in their ends. These open vortices are the physical strings of the massive electrodynamics and the charge quantization is consistently obtained in the construction of the brane invariants describing these magnetic confining flux tubes.

Finally, let us obtain the effective interaction potential for the external monopoles. For this sake, we integrate out the field A_1 in (11), obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_c[j_1] = &\exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} -\frac{g^2}{2} j_1 \wedge \frac{1}{-\Delta + m^2} * j_1 \right\} \\ &\sum_{\{*L_2\}} \exp\left\{ i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3}} \frac{m^2 g^2}{2} L_2 \wedge \frac{1}{-\Delta + m^2} * L_2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \qquad (12)$$

where we used that $j_1 = \delta\chi_2 = \delta L_2$. Considering a stationary external monopole-antimonopole configuration and the asymptotic time regime $T \to \infty$, the dominant contribution in the sum over configurations of the magnetic flux tubes in (12) is given by a straight tube [16], which gives the stable configuration of minimal energy of the system. In this limit we can take only this contribution into account such that the second term in (12) gives a magnetic confining potential that is linear in the monopole-antimonopole separation, while the first term gives a short-range Yukawa interaction [20]. The static effective interaction potential between the external monopoles in this limit explicitly reads [16, 20]:

$$V_{eff}(R) = -\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\frac{e^{-mR}}{R} + \frac{m^2 g^2}{8\pi} \ln\left(\frac{m^2 + M^2}{m^2}\right) R, \qquad (13)$$

where R is the distance between the monopole and the antimonopole and M is a physical ultraviolet cutoff corresponding to the inverse of the coherence length of the electric condensate, which gives the thickness of the magnetic flux tubes. Hence, due to the magnetic confinement generated by the electric condensate, the introduction of isolated monopoles is impossible in the Proca theory, since this would render the energy of the system infinite (what can be seen from (13) by taking the limit $R \to \infty$), the only possibility being the introduction of pairs of monopole-antimonopole connected by brane invariants corresponding to the physical confining magnetic flux tubes. Besides, notice that the condensate interpretation is essential here, since the physical cutoff M, which avoids an ultraviolet divergence in the effective potential (13), is a natural finite scale of the system corresponding to the inverse of the coherence length of the electric condensate, which may be small but is certainly nonvanishing. Notice also that in the limit $m \to 0$, the electric condensate is removed and the confining term of the effective potential (13) goes to zero, while the Yukawa potential reduces to the usual Coulomb potential of the massless electrodynamics.

III. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this Letter we discussed the issue of the introduction of Dirac monopoles into the Proca theory in $(3+1)$-dimensions. This model of massive photons can be induced due to a condensation of electric charges and external magnetic monopoles are found to be confined in pairs of monopole-antimonopole connected not by unphysical Dirac strings, but by physical brane invariants corresponding to open magnetic vortices. The charge quantization is consistently obtained in the construction of these invariants. Actually, this can be seen as a general conclusion involving theories with massive vector bosons and Dirac monopoles, from which the Proca theory is a particular example. Another example vastly discussed in the literature [9–11, 14, 16] is the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory in $(2+1)$-dimensions in the presence of magnetic instantons. Also in this system, the (topological) mass of the vector boson can be interpreted as being due to an electric condensate that breaks the P and T symmetries [13], and the magnetic instantons are found to be confined by physical magnetic flux tubes [14, 16]. Recently, we reached the same conclusion in the Maxwell-BF theory in $(3+1)$-dimensions [18], what tells us that Dirac monopoles and massive vector bosons are not incompatible at all, but instead, the mass of the vector bosons can be in general interpreted as arising due to an electric condensate, which confines external magnetic defects through the Meissner effect. Hence, what is really inconsistent is the introduction of *isolated* Dirac monopoles in theories with massive vector bosons.

We close our comments by stressing the important fact that the subtle related issues of the charge quantization and the reality of the brane invariants that confine the probe magnetic monopoles in the Proca theory have nothing to do with the presence or the absence of gauge invariance, as one can see from [8], where problems similar to those reported in [6] were also observed, in spite of the fact that in [8] the Maxwell-BF theory was used to restore the gauge symmetry of the theory describing interacting massive photons and Dirac monopoles in (3+1)-dimensions. As explained here and also in [14, 16, 18], the key point involved in the consistent formulation of Dirac monopoles in a theory with massive vector bosons regards a careful treatment of the Dirac brane symmetry in the passage from the regime with diluted electric charges to the regime with condensed electric charges, where the mass of the vector bosons emerges. Moreover, it seems essential, in order to avoid an ultraviolet divergence in the effective interaction potential between probe magnetic defects in these systems, that such a mass arises due to an electric condensate, since with this interpretation a finite ultraviolet cutoff scale corresponding to the inverse of the coherence length of the condensate is naturally contemplated in the formalism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for financial support.

---

[1] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **133**, 60 (1931); Phys. Rev. **74**, 817 (1948).

[2] B. Julia and G. Toulouse, J. Physique Lett. **40**, 395 (1979).

[3] F. Quevedo and C. A. Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B **501**, 143 (1997).

[4] T. Banks, R. Myerson and J. B. Kogut, Nucl. Phys. B **129**, 493 (1977).

[5] H. Kleinert, *Gauge Fields in Condensed Matter* (World Scientific Publishing Company, 1989); *Multivalued Fields in Condensed Matter, Electromagnetism and Gravitation* (World Scientific Publishing Company, 2007).

[6] A. Yu. Ignatiev and G. C. Joshi, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 984 (1996).

[7] T. Ahrens, Il Nuovo Cim. **103 A**, 1139 (1990).

[8] W. A. Moura-Melo, N. Panza and J. A. Helayel-Neto, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14**, 3949 (1999).

[9] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 689 (1986).

[10] R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 3851 (1986).

[11] M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1969 (1993).

[12] P. Gaete and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B **601**, 108 (2004).

[13] J. Gamboa, L. S. Grigorio, M. S. Guimaraes, F. Mendez and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 447 (2008).

[14] L. S. Grigorio, M. S. Guimaraes and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B **674**, 213 (2009).

[15] L. S. Grigorio, M. S. Guimaraes, R. Rougemont and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B **690**, 316 (2010).

[16] L. S. Grigorio, M. S. Guimaraes, R. Rougemont and C. Wotzasek, JHEP **08** (2011) 118.

[17] L. S. Grigorio, M. S. Guimaraes, W. Oliveira, R. Rougemont and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B **697**, 392 (2011); L. S. Grigorio, M. S. Guimaraes, R. Rougemont and C. Wotzasek, Phys. Lett. B **710**, 683 (2012).

[18] L. S. Grigorio, M. S. Guimaraes, R. Rougemont, C. Wotzasek and C. A. D. Zarro, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 027705 (2012).

[19] B. Felsager, *Geometry, Particles and Fields* (Springer-Verlag, 1998).

[20] G. Ripka, *Dual Superconductor Models of Color Confinement* (Springer-Verlag, 2005).